

# Seguin ISD Tabulation Summary

## Additions & Renovations at Briesemeister Middle School (RFCSP: 21-06)

TYPE	PROJECT TITLE
RFCSP	Additions & Renovations at Briesemeister Middle School
NUMBER	ISSUING DEPARTMENT
21-06	Maintenance & Operations

	DATE 1	DATE 2
POSTING	11/25/20	12/02/20
PRE-BID	12/09/20	N/A
CLOSING	01/07/20	N/A
BOARD	01/19/21	N/A

RESPONDENT (Rank Order)	PROPOSAL	QUALIFICATION STATEMENT	SURETY	REGISTERED CITY OF SEGUIN	PRICE (Base Bid)	ALT 1 (Tennis)	ALT 4 (Concession)	ALT 8 (Field Light)	ALT 14A (Schneider)	DUAL AWARD SAVINGS (info. only)	PRICE (Grand Total)	AVERAGE SCORE								TOTAL SCORE		
												(% deviation x 1.0)	MAX	MAX	MAX	MAX	MAX	MAX	MAX		MAX	MAX
												MAX 20	MAX 8	MAX 2	MAX 10	MAX 5	MAX 5	MAX 5	MAX 5		MAX 5	MAX 60
SATTERFIELD & PONTIKES	Y	Y	Y	Y	31,600,000	426,000	183,000	221,000	449,000	0	32,879,000	18	8	0	9	5	5	5	5	54		
ADOLFSON & PETERSON	Y	Y	Y	Y	30,790,000	420,000	166,000	260,000	488,000	(353,900)	32,124,000	20	8	0	8	4	5	5	4	53		
LEE LEWIS	Y	Y	Y	N	31,502,000	216,000	147,000	242,000	411,000	(50,000)	32,518,000	19	7	0	8	4	5	4	3	50		
BARTLETT COCKE	Y	Y	Y	Y	32,000,000	317,000	140,000	208,000	470,000	0	33,135,000	17	7	2	7	4	5	4	4	50		
GUIDO	Y	Y	Y	Y	32,150,000	286,000	114,559	256,520	509,494	0	33,316,573	16	8	0	8	4	5	4	3	49		
WEAVER & JACOBS	Y	Y	Y	Y	31,808,000	436,000	115,000	236,000	395,000	0	32,990,000	17	7	0	7	3	5	4	4	47		
KOEHLER	Y	Y	Y	Y	34,000,000	180,700	68,500	208,000	503,500	0	34,960,700	11	8	2	7	4	5	5	5	46		
STODDARD	Y	Y	Y	Y	36,300,000	286,000	122,000	260,000	498,000	(200,000)	37,466,000	3	5	0	4	1	3	1	2	19		
*** "YES" Indicates amount is included in the PRICE SCORE >>					YES	YES	YES	YES	YES	NO												

RECOMMENDATION	SCORE	PRICE	JUSTIFICATION FOR THIS RECOMMENDATION
SATTERFIELD & PONTIKES	54	\$ 32,879,000	The evaluation team, consisting of nine staff members representing various areas of District operations, consider the Satterfield & Pontikes (S&P) proposal to be the best value for this project. S&P has extensive experience with projects similar in size and complexity to that of AJB. S&P's presentation demonstrated the firm's careful attention the specific challenges of this project. These factors along with S&P's use of reputable subcontractors are the basis of this recommendation.